

# OBSERVATIONAL, MULTICENTRE, SINGLE-ARM STUDY TO ASSESS THE PERFORMANCE, SAFETY AND HANDLING OF NEW ANTIMICROBIAL CALCIUM ALGINATE DRESSING\*

**Erol Mete<sup>1</sup>, Patrick Kenk<sup>2</sup>, Claas Roes<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> St. Vinzenz Hospital, Dr.-Otto-Seidel-Straße 31-33, 46535 Dinslaken, Germany;

<sup>2</sup> Vidia Christliche Kliniken Karlsruhe, Steinhäuserstraße 18, 76135 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Lohmann & Rauscher GmbH & Co. KG, Westerwaldstraße 4, 56579 Rengsdorf, Germany



For more information  
on the product being tested,  
visit the L&R publication database.

EWMA 2024 • EP092 • May 01<sup>st</sup>-03<sup>rd</sup>  
London, UK

Scientific grand by Lohmann & Rauscher GmbH & Co. KG  
\*Suprasorb<sup>®</sup> A + Ag Pro – Speciality Fibres and Materials Ltd



## Aim

Wound care becomes challenging when dealing with infected or infection-prone wounds, demanding both effective infection control and proper management of wound exudate. [1] To address these challenges, a novel antimicrobial calcium alginate dressing\* was developed. The objective of this study was to evaluate the dressing's performance, safety, and ease of use in the treatment of such wounds.

## Method

The clinical study was conducted across **5 centres** in Germany. Wound managers treated **91 patients** (46% male/54% female) with the investigated product, following instructions for a minimum of **4 dressing changes over a 7 to 28-day period**. Data was collected using an electronic questionnaire, and the patients had an average age of **69.46 ± 16.38 years**. The study followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and adhered to §3 clause 4 of the German Medical Devices Act (MPDG) and §47 Section 3 of the MPDG.



\*Suprasorb® A + Ag Pro – Speciality Fibres and Materials Ltd

## References

- [1] International Wound Infection Institute (2022) 'Wound Infection In Clinical Practice: Principles of best practice', Wounds International.




## Results I

The study included patients with various medical conditions, such as venous leg ulcers (23.08%), postoperative wounds (23.08%), pressure injuries (20.88%), diabetic ulcers (10.99%), arterial ulcer (10.99%), and skin graft or donor sites (10.99%). Among these wounds, 42% were deep, and 58% were superficial. During the study period, indicators of infection such as redness, overheating, tissue dysfunction, swelling, and pain were significantly reduced by an average of 1.34 on the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) [Graph 1].

### Graph 1: Reduction of infection signs


Box-and-whisker plot illustrating the reduction in infection signs throughout the study period. The values before the start of the study (pre) and after the completion of the study (post) are presented. Mean values are denoted by '+'. The whiskers on the graph represent the highest and lowest values reported on the Visual Analog Scale (VAS). The observed reductions are statistically significant ( $p > 0.001$ ).





## Results II

On average, practitioners effectively met their treatment objectives, which encompassed maintaining wound moisture, facilitating autolytic debridement, protection of the wound edge against maceration, supporting wound healing, managing exudate, controlling existing infections, preventing new infections, and stopping bleeding. These objectives were achieved at a 94% success rate with the use of the examined wound dressing [Graph 2]. Wound exudate was effectively channelled into the secondary dressing in 97.2% of all cases. In 97.8% of cases, the dressing could be removed in one piece. Easily removable fibre residues were observed in 10.99% of cases. Adherence of the dressing to the wound bed occurred only in 4.4% of cases. Dressing changes were atraumatic in 97.8% of cases. The ease of use, as well as cutting the dressing when dry, was rated as very easy or easy in 100% of cases.




**Graph 2: Fulfillment of treatment goals**

Proportion of patients for whom the treatment goals, as set by the user, were fully achieved ( ■ ), partially achieved ( ■ ) and not achieved ( ■ ) using the calcium alginate dressing.


### Results III

In only 7.7% of cases did the wound dressing fail to gel. In 98.9% of cases, the wound remained fully covered after the dressing had gelled [Graph 3A]. The gelled wound dressing effectively removed cellular debris from the wound if present in 97.01% of cases. The dressing conformed to the wound in 98.9% of instances. Maceration resulted from the dressing in only 1.1% of cases [Graph 3B].



**Graph 3A: Wound coverage**

Proportion of patients in whom the wound remained fully covered after the dressing gelled (■), and complete coverage was no longer maintained (■).



**Graph 3B: Prevention of maceration**

Proportion of patients without maceration (■), with maceration caused by the secondary dressing (■) and attributed to the calcium alginate dressing (■) during the study period.

### Conclusion

The study confirmed the new wound dressing's excellent effectiveness in handling infected or infection-prone wounds.