DETERMINATION OF THE FLUID HOLDING CAPACITY AND PROTEIN RETENTION OF THE NEW MONOFILAMENT DEBRIDER DEVICE DEBRISOFT® LOLLY

C. Wiegand[1], K. Reddersen[1], M. Abel[2], C. Schmalenbach[3], W. Harreither[3], P. Ruth[2], J. Muldoon[4], U.-C. Hipler[1]

[1]Department of Dermatology, University Medical Center Jena, Germany [2]Lohmann & Rauscher GmbH & Co. KG, Rengsdorf, Germany [3]Lohmann & Rauscher GmbH & Co. KG, Schönau/Triesting, Austria [4]Activa Healthcare, Burton upon Trent, UK

Introduction

Chronic wounds contain devitalized, necrotic or sloughy tissue that impedes healing as it acts as proinflammatory stimulus or serves as media for microorganisms [1]. For mechanical debridement mainly wet-to-dry gauze is used, which nondiscriminatorily removes devitalized tissue from the wound, resulting in pain and damaged healthy tissue [2]. The new debrider device Debrisoft® Lolly consists of polyester monofilament fibres presenting a novel, fast and almost painless option for debridement. Evidence further suggests that greater dressing moisture retention is associated with fewer clinical infections, greater patient comfort and reduced scarring. Keeping this in view, prevention of desiccation of a wound and achieving moisture balance should also be a focus during debridement. Hence, a high fluid holding capacity, beneficial for taking up excess amounts of wound exudates, is not only advantageous for dressings but also for debridement devices such as the Debrisoft® pad (figure 1A) or the Debrisoft® Lolly (figure 1B).



Figure 1: Mechanical debridement with Debrisoft® (A) and the newly developed Debrisoft® Lolly (B) for cleansing of deep wounds. Both consist of polyester monofilament fibers (C).



Figure 2: Schematic representation of soaking the samples in the respective solutions.

Material & Methods

The fluid holding capacity of the monofilament debrider device Debrisoft® Lolly (Lohmann & Rauscher) was investigated *in vitro*. Therefore, samples were soaked in (a) distilled water and (b) 10%BSA solution. Sample weight was immediately determined. Samples were then dried at 80°C for 4h.



Figure 3: Measurement of the fluid holding capacity (FHC) of dry and pre-wetted gauze and debrider* pads..



Figure 4: Measurement of the fluid holding capacity (FHC) of dry and pre-wetted gauze and debrider* pads..

Results

The product Debrisoft® Lolly absorbs and binds water and protein solutions. The water handling of Debrisoft® Lolly (figure 3) was similar to that of Debrisoft® pads (figure 4). Although a higher resilience to fluid drainage in the vertical position could be observed for the product Debrisoft® Lolly. The effect of the protein content on the absorption behavior was determined by analyzing the fluid holding capacity (FHC) using a 10% BSA solution. The FHC decreased significantly with increased protein concentration. Nonetheless, a distinct protein retention from the 10% BSA solution of 7.4 g/g was observed for the Debrisoft® Lolly.

Conclusion

The monofilament wound debrider device Debrisoft® Lolly presents a novel, fast, and almost painless option for debridement. Due to its physicochemical nature it is advantageous with regard to fluid holding capacity. Furthermore, good results for the fluid holding capacities were obtained at high protein concentrations. Hence, this new technique should provide a valuable tool in treatment of patients with chronic wounds.

References

[1] Sibbald et al. Advances in Skin & Wound Care 2011; 24(9):415-436 [2] Fallabella AF. Dermatol Ther 2006; 19(6):317-325

Wounds UK 2015 Wound Care Conference, Harrogate 9-11th November 2015